arXiv:math/0212157v1 [math.AT] 11 Dec 2002

Cubical abelian groups with connections are equivalent to chain complexes

Ronald Brown*,
Mathematics Division
School of Informatics,
University of Wales, Bangor
Gwynedd LL57 1UT, U.K.

Philip J. Higgins†,
Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Science Laboratories,
South Rd.,
Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.

February 1, 2008

University of Wales, Bangor, Maths Preprint 02.24

Abstract

The theorem of the title is deduced from the equivalence between crossed complexes and cubical ω-groupoids with connections proved by the authors in 1981. In fact we prove the equivalence of five categories defined internally to an additive category with kernels.

Introduction

The theorem of the title is shown to be a consequence of the equivalence between crossed complexes and cubical ω-groupoids with connections proved by us in [4]. We assume the definitions given in [4]. Thus this paper is a companion to others, for example [7], which show that a deficit of the traditional theory of cubical sets and cubical groups has been the lack of attention paid to the "connections", defined in [4]. Indeed the traditional degeneracies of cubical theory identify certain opposite faces of a cube, unlike the degeneracies of simplicial theory which identify adjacent faces. The connections allow for a fuller analogy with the methods available for simplicial theory by giving forms of 'degeneracies' which identify adjacent faces of cubes. They are used in [4] and [1] to give a definition of a 'commutative cube'.

Part of the interest of these results is that the family of categories equivalent to that of crossed complexes can be regarded as a foundation for a non-abelian approach to algebraic topology and the cohomology of groups. These results show that a form of abelianisation of these categories leads to well-known structures.

*email: r.brown@bangor.ac.uk
†email: p.j.higgins@durham.ac.uk

Crossed complexes internal to an additive category with kernels

The basic elements of what we say next are well known, but are given for completeness.

Suppose we are given an action of a group P on the right of a group M such that the action $\phi : M \times P \to M$ is a morphism of groups. Then, as is well known, the action is trivial. The proof is easy: let $m \in M, p \in P$. Then $m^p = \phi(m,p) = \phi(m,1)\phi(1,p) = m^1 1^p = m$. It follows that a crossed module internal to the category of groups is just a morphism of abelian groups.

We need to consider below the more general case of crossed modules over groupoids. Internally to the category of groups, these are more complicated; but internally to the category of abelian groups they are again equivalent to morphisms of abelian groups. This result is essentially in [5].

Theorem *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category with kernels. The following categories, defined internally to $\mathcal{A}$, are equivalent.*

$\mathbb{B}_1$ *: The category of chain complexes.*

$\mathbb{B}_2$ *: The category of crossed complexes*

$\mathbb{B}_3$ *: The category of cubical sets with connections.*

$\mathbb{B}_4$ *: The category of cubical ω-groupoids with connections.*

$\mathbb{B}_5$ *: The category of globular ω-groupoids.*

Proof: By working on the morphism sets, we can as usual assume that we are working in the category of abelian groups. Note that the theorem of the title follows from the equivalence $\mathbb{B}_3 \simeq \mathbb{B}_1$.

$\mathbb{B}_1 \simeq \mathbb{B}_2$: By a chain complex we shall always mean a sequence of objects and morphisms $\delta : A_n \to A_{n-1},\ n \geqslant 1$, such that $\delta\delta = 0$. Let C be a crossed complex internal to $\mathcal{A}$. The associated chain complex αC will be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha C)_0 &= C_0,\\ (\alpha C)_1 &= \text{Ker } (\delta_0 : C_1 \to C_0),\\ (\alpha C)_n &= C_n(0),\ n \geqslant 2.\end{aligned}$$

The crossed complex βA associated to a chain complex A will be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta A)_0 &= A_0,\\ (\beta A)_1 &= A_0 \times A_1,\\ (\beta A)_n &= A_0 \times A_n,\ n \geqslant 2.\end{aligned}$$

The groupoid structure on βA in dimension 1 is defined as usual by $\delta_0 = \text{pr}_1,\ \delta_1 = \text{pr}_1 + (\partial \circ \text{pr}_2)$, and with composition $(a,b) + (a + \partial b, c) = (a, b+c)$. The structure on $(\beta A)_n$ for $n \geqslant 2$ is that the only addition is $(a,b) + (a,c) = (a, b+c)$. The operation of $(\beta A)_1$ on $(\beta A)_n,\ n \geqslant 2$, is $(a,b)^{(a,c)} = (a + \partial c, b)$. This gives our first equivalence, between chain complexes and crossed complexes.

$\mathbb{B}_2 \simeq \mathbb{B}_3$: An equivalence between crossed complexes and cubical ω-groupoids with connections internally to the category of sets is established in [4]. Although choices are involved in this, the end

result is a natural equivalence. It follows that this can be applied internally to a category $\mathcal{A}$, simply by applying it to the morphism sets $\mathcal{A}(X, A)$ for all objects X of $\mathcal{A}$. This yields our equivalence between crossed complexes and cubical ω-groupoids with connections internal to $\mathcal{A}$.

$\mathbb{B}_2 \simeq \mathbb{B}_5$: This follows, in a similar way, from the equivalence between crossed complexes and globular ω-groupoids proved in [3]. (Reference [2] is relevant to the equivalence $\mathbb{B}_1 \simeq \mathbb{B}_5$.

$\mathbb{B}_3 \simeq \mathbb{B}_4$: Let K be a cubical abelian group with connections, in the sense of [4].

Lemma *If G is an abelian group, and if $s, t : G \to G$ are endomorphism of G such that $st = s$, $ts = t$, then we can define a groupoid structure on G with source and target maps s, t by*

$$g \circ h = g - tg + h,$$

for $g, h \in G$ with $tg = sh$, and this defines on G the structure of groupoid internal to abelian groups.

This result comes from [5], and is also a special case of a non-abelian result on cat^1-groups [6], where the condition [Ker s, Ker t] $= 1$ is required, and is here trivially satisfied. This result can be applied to K_n, $n \geqslant 1$, and for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with $s_i = \epsilon_i \partial_i^0$, $t_i = \epsilon_i \partial_i^1$, giving n compositions and so a cubical complex with compositions and connections in the sense of [1, 4]. The interchange law is easily verified, and there remains essentially only the transport law for the connections, which is again simple, showing that K is now a cubical ω-groupoid with connections. It is easy to see that the functor thus defined is adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbb{B}_4 \to \mathbb{B}_3$. □

References

[1] Al-Agl, F.A., Brown, R. and Steiner, R., 'Multiple categories: the equivalence between a globular and cubical approach', *Advances in Mathematics* 170 (2002) 71-118.

[2] Bourn, D., 'Another denormalization theorem for the abelian chain complexes', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 66 (1990) 229-249.

[3] Brown, R. and Higgins, P.J. , 'The equivalence of ∞-groupoids and crossed complexes', *Cah. Top. Géom. Diff.* 22 (1981) 371-386.

[4] Brown, R. and Higgins, P.J., 'The algebra of cubes', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 21 (1981) 233-260.

[5] Grothendieck, A., 'Catégories cofibrées additives et complexe cotangent relatif', *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* 79 (1968) Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 167pp.

[6] Loday, J.-L., 'Spaces with finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 24 (1982) 179-202.

[7] Tonks, A.P., 'Cubical groups which are Kan', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 81 (1992) 83-87.